Impact Pupil Premium Grant: July 2021

2020 – 2021 Pupil Premium Grant Summary

Estimated pupil numbers	417
Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG	72
Estimated funding	£77,180

Focus	Impact								Spend	
Quality of Teaching										
To improve the Progress in Reading for disadvantaged pupils so the gap between disadvantaged pupils and non- disadvantaged pupils in Reading will be closed.	monitoring. Sup the English Lead ensures that sho progress throug	Quality First Teaching is evident and consistent across the school evidenced through weekly monitoring. Support has been offered in Year 3, Year 2 and Year 1. This has included working alongside the English Lead, School Improvement Lead, and observing practice in another school. Challenge ensures that shared planning is adjusted to reflect the needs in class and pupils continue to make progress throughout the year. The impact of Quality First Teaching and carefully planned interventions means that outcomes are								
	improved, althout outcomes for p	ough still not v	where the sch	nool would lik	e them to be				£30ph	
	Reading % at ARE and above	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6		Assessment purchase of	
	Pupil Premium	27.3%(11 pupils)	68.2% (22 pupils)	37.5% (8 pupils)	52.4% (21 pupils)	25% (16 pupils)	69.3% (13 pupils)		NFER COST £734.44	
	All pupils	50.8% (59 pupils)	75.5% (57 pupils)	73.4% (60 pupils)	66.7% (81 pupils)	28.5% (59 pupils)	85% (60 pupils)		Additional support staff costs	
	Comparison between previously published data.	No available data due to COVID- 19 and	54.5% of PP children achieved GLD. 64.9% of ALL pupils	87.5% of PP children achieved GLD. 81.7% of ALL pupils	52.4%of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 69.1% of ALL pupils	62.5% of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 76.3% of ALL pupils	58.3% of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 76.6% of ALL		£24730	

Next step: monitoring of progress in all areas of the curriculum, including effective use of differentiation to support pupils.To improve the Progress in Maths for disadvantaged pupils so the gap between disadvantaged pupils and non-disadvantaged pupils in Mathematics will beQuality First Teaching is evident and increasingly consistent across the school evidenced through weekly monitoring. Support has been offered including whole staff Steve Lomax training, peer modelling, Maths Lead attendance at Maths Network Meetings, working alongside the Maths Lead, School Improvement Lead. Challenge ensures that shared planning is adjusted to reflect the needs in class and pupils continue to make progress throughout the year.									
	All teachers and teaching of Rec the Guided Rec beginning of ec selected texts w clear there was been removed confident teach to increase cha exposing reluctor well as receive s Weekly learning carefully planne Lesson Plans dic addressed. Sup this was also evi	ading, for exa lading Plannin ich term folla vere not chal limited voca from the pro- hers in other y llenge and n ant/slower re some training walks will ev ed questionin l highlight tar port was pers denced in G	ample, at the g Format, this wing. Regula lenging. For e abulary and ir gression docu year groups to nove pupils to aders, teach g on how to to idenced pre- ig. get pupils, ar sonalised and uided Readir	beginning of s was then re- ar monitoring example, in Y- ofference cha ument. In Yec o model goo oward longer ers went to a each whole of cise technico nd indicated d varied, feec ng books.	sure consiste the year ea visited weekl of books and 3 'The Diary of llenge availd ar 4, the Phas d practice, of texts and av nother local class Guided I vocab, sco how their ne lback further	ncy in the ap ich Key Stage y during Term d planning, h of the Killer C able in the te: ce Lead work and supporte vay carousel school to wa Reading. iffolded teac reads and gap	above. oproach for the received train 1 and 2. Ther ighlighted whe at' was used to st- the text has ed with other I d planning. In Guided Read tch good prac- hing sequenc os in their learn oupils to make	ning on a t the pre but it was s now less Year 2, ing, ctice, as es and ing were	
		closure of schools.	achieved GLD.	achieved GLD.	were at ARE or above.	were at ARE or above.	pupils were at ARE or		

Pupil Premium		50% (22 pupils)	25% (8 pupils)	47.6% (21 pupils)	68.7% (16 pupils)	76.9% (13 pupils)
All pupils	•	71.7% (57 pupils)	73.4% (60 pupils)	67.9% (81 pupils)	81.4% (59 pupils)	81.7% (60 pupils)
Comparison between previously published data.	ween available viously data due blished to a. COVID- 19 and the closure of	54.5% of PP children achieved GLD. 64.9% of ALL pupils achieved GLD.	87.5% of PP children achieved GLD. 81.7% of ALL pupils achieved GLD.	57.1%of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 69.1% of ALL pupils were at ARE or above.	56.3%of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 76.3% of ALL pupils were at ARE or above.	46.2% of PP in KS1 were at ARE or above. 66.6% of ALL pupils were at ARE or above.

Transparent and early identification of target pupils are reviewed regularly through Pupil Progress Meetings.

KS2 Case Study	Autumn Baseli	ne	Summer Term 2		
	Ready to Progress (Maths)	NFER Assessment (reading)	Ready to Progress (Maths)	NFER Assessment (reading)	
XXXX (LAC)	27	92	48	96	

All teachers and staff will have received training to ensure consistency in the approach for the teaching of Maths, for example, the Year 5 teacher is a great example of using precise Mathematical vocabulary and this practice has been observed by other members of staff where this is an area of development.

Weekly learning walks will evidence precise technical vocab, Scaffolded teaching sequences and carefully planned questioning develop through the training provided has e ensured consistency in approach to the teaching of Mathematics. The impact is that all pupils are being taught in line with curriculum expectations, the correct concepts and vocabulary is being taught in the correct year groups.

	Lesson Plans will highlight target pupils, and indicate how their needs and gaps in their learning will be addressed. In most classes, lesson plans indicate where activities have been adapted to meet individual needs as well as feedback opportunities. Where this is not evident, support has been put into place- this has been provided by the Maths Lead and other good practitioners. Next step: monitoring of progress in all areas of the curriculum, including effective use of differentiation to support pupils.					
Targeted Intervention						
Focus	Impact	Cost				
Progress in Reading for disadvantaged pupils to be at least in line with the National Average by the end of Key Stage 2.	Baseline Assessments used to identify 'gaps in learning', and teaching, interventions are planned accordingly. 100% of Teachers and 25% of additional adults are upskilled in targeted approaches such as precision teaching, analysing data. This ensured that record keeping of tracking progress was thorough and clearly evidenced areas of improvement as well as progress made as a result of the targeted approach. All teaching and support staff received phonics training. The impact of this was that all adults were modelling the correct sounds to pupils, and following the clear Systematic Phonics pathway within interventions. Inclusion lead carefully monitored, and tracked progress of targeted pupils. This will make sure pupils are moved on when they are secure with their learning, and there is accelerated rate of progress. This was monitored twice each half term. Initial monitoring included the collation of the Intervention planning, to ensure this carefully met the gaps evidenced as a result of assessments. The outcomes of the interventions were monitored at the end of each term to ensure that progress was made. Where this was not apparent, the Inclusion lead worked alongside the class teacher reviewing the intervention in place, and broke down the steps into smaller, more manageable steps for the pupils to make progress. Intervention defined as a programme of additional study planned and prepared by class teacher, delivered by classteacher/teaching assistant over a period of at least 6 weeks. % of Pupil Premium pupils who have made in Year Progress	Additional support staff costs £44730				

Year 1	81%	75% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Year 2	81%	86.3 % of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Year 3	100%	100% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Year 4	71%	61.9% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Year 5	55%	56.3% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Year 6	53%	46.2% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
Amount of release time	nterventions that are fit for purpose and e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours of PP pupils compared to previously p	se of the year.	f pupils.
Amount of release time	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p Previously Published DATA	se of the year. Dublished data % of PP pupils at ARE in	f pupils.
Amount of release time Table to show progress Year Group	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p	se of the year. Dublished data	f pupils.
Amount of release time Table to show progress	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p Previously Published DATA PP at Reading ARE No data available due to School Closures as a result	se of the year. Dublished data % of PP pupils at ARE in	f pupils.
Amount of release time Table to show progress Year Group Reception	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p Previously Published DATA PP at Reading ARE No data available due to	se of the year. Sublished data % of PP pupils at ARE in Summer Term 2	f pupils.
Amount of release time Table to show progress Year Group Reception Year 1	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p Previously Published DATA PP at Reading ARE No data available due to School Closures as a result	se of the year. Sublished data % of PP pupils at ARE in Summer Term 2 18.2%	f pupils.
Amount of release time Table to show progress Year Group Reception Year 1 Year 2	e per teacher is 12 hours over the cours s of PP pupils compared to previously p Previously Published DATA PP at Reading ARE No data available due to School Closures as a result of the Pandemic.	se of the year. Sublished data % of PP pupils at ARE in Summer Term 2 18.2% 68.2%	f pupils.

Priority readers identified Interventions, to ensure th Disadvantaged children programme – did this hc	nat they are specifica I have access to high	Illy targeting the	gaps.	
KS1 Case Study	September Be (reading)	aseline	Summer Term	2
	Phonics Screening	SATS Assessment	Phonics Screening	NFER Assessment
XXXX	14	85	33	103
 Daily reader 				
KS2 Case Study	September Be (reading)	aseline	Summer Term	2
KS2 Case Study		aseline Phonics Screening	Summer Term NFER Assessment	2 Phonics Screening
KS2 Case Study XXXX (PLAC)	(reading) NFER	Phonics	NFER	Phonics

Progress in Maths for disadvantaged pupils to be at least in line with the National Average	approach, in September teacher of Mathematics with National expectatio Maths Lead and good p knowledge through tear vocabulary and the use Maths On Track Sessions consolidation to 'preven	Lomax Training to ensure excellent up 2020 and January 2021. This has ensu- pupils are receiving the correct pitcons. ractitioners of Maths to support teach in teaching approach. This improved of mathematical resources. to be timetabled daily, to provide de tt' and 'address' the gaps. All pupils h d some training of this – however, rem	ured consistency in approach to h and the curriculum progresses i hers who need to develop their su the quality of planning, the use o eliberate, same day practice and ave received recovery Maths ses	the n line ubject f precise ssions
		% of pupils who received additional Maths Recovery Curriculum during the Academic year 2020-2021	% of pupils who have made in Year Progress	
	Year 1	100%	54.6% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
	Year 2	100%	75.4% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
	Year 3	100%	100% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
	Year 4	100%	66.6% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
	Year 5	100%	81.3% of PP pupils achieved expected or better rates of in year progress	
	Year 5			

				targeted pupils. Weekly with their learning, and there is		
	Year Group	Previously Published DATA PP at Maths ARE	% of PP pupils at ARE in Autumn Term 1	% of PP pupils at ARE in Summer Term 2		
	Reception	No data available				
	Year 1	due to School Closures as a result d	f 36.4%	54.6%		
	Year 2	the Pandemic.	13.6%	50%		
	Year 3	60% (ELG Data)	0%	25%		
	Year 4	38%	38%	47.6%		
	Year 5	56&	25%	68.8%		
	Year 6	46%	61.5%	76.9%		
Wider Opportunities		entification of individual Pup nlight pupil vulnerability gro				
Focus	Impact				Cost (£5,000)	
Targeted use of before and after school programmes	Breakfast club organised by a qualified Nurture practitioner, with a view that the club is planned with a Nurture approach. Pupils are encouraged to engage in social activities (board games) as well as informal welcoming chat and discussion.					
	Pupils attending Br	reakfast Club Reason		Impact		
	Reception and Ye	ar 4 Family Safeguard	ng concerns	Pupils arrive at school and having a breakfast and welfare checks by trained members of staff.		

	Year 4 Year 3		Family Mental Health	Pupils arrive at school v additional pressures imp anxiety.			
			Transport issues Parents reported difficulty getting pupil to school		Pupil arriving at school and attendance increa 87%		
					Pupil attending Breakfast club happily, parents report improved manners and is more motivated to get ready for school.		
	Year 1 and Year 4 family		Difficulties with childcare of lateness impacting attendance	and	Pupils attending breakf daily and therefore pur % significantly improved	nctuality	
			Recent bereavement and punctuality difficulties as a result of moving home.		Pupil attending breakfast club daily and benefits from familiar adult who checks in daily.		
	Total number of pupils attending		11 pupils (15% of PP attend	d Break	fast Club)		
Disadvantaged pupils have access to a full range of			lumber of pupils PP ccessing the support	Impo	ıct		Increase the Peace COST
behaviour and emotional support, this will be delivered by the Learning Mentor, external pastoral specialists (Increase the Peace,	Increase the Peace Learning Mentor	1		on C	needs escalated now AMHS waitlist based on ol referral.		Benjamin Bishop COST
Child Behaviour Solutions, Ben Bishop) and the Family Support Advisor. Targeted pupils will also receive Play Therapy, Art Therapy to help them address emotional issues, previous trauma and enable the pupils to feel settled and ready to learn.	Benjamin Bishop	4		pare	llent feedback from nts/carers. Improved aviours at home and ol.		
	vious trauma and pupils to feel settled			exce Reac Teac possi	pupil made ptional progress in Jing within end of KS2 her Assessments- bly as a result of oved confidence.		

	Family Support Advisor	across the these famili			n a ding				
In-school Emotion Coaching Lead will deliver further training to all staff, to align with the schools newly developed Behaviour Policy (Spring Term). This effective approach will help support pupils to self-regulate and manage their stress responses.	stakeholders (including Pare policy. Impact of Policy and appro	npact of Policy and approach still being monitored. ext step: Pupil and stakeholders surveys to be carried out early in Autumn Term to support evidence							
Remote Learning opportunities are considerate of technological needs for disadvantaged pupils.	Year Group	How were PP of Interventions		rted during the closu t Mentoring (online face					
The Inclusion Lead will investigate				lace	reading				
possibility of individual subscriptions	Rec	100%	100%	-	100%				
to online Museum/gallery/theatre	Year 1	66%	100%	33%	100%				
access. Bug Club online reading	Year 2	86%	100%	-	100%				
subscription purchased for EYFS	Year 3	100%	100%	-	100%				
and KS1, to target Early Readers,	Year 4	50%	90%	35%	100%				
and enable all pupils have access	Year 5	40%	80%	40%	100%				
to a wide range of texts which are specifically matched according to	Year 6	45%	90%	9%	100%				
their ability.	22% of Pupil Premium Pupils used a DfE provided laptop (16/24 laptops provided)								
Targeted SEMH interventions									
planned for and delivered by additional adults. SEMH baseline assessments undertaken at the	Year Group		pupils who received tervention	an Impact					
beginning of each intervention to monitor impact.	1	42% (3 p	42% (3 pupils)		idence for EHCP est- highlighting AH needs. o use evidence to ral for Family er.				

	2	19% (4 pupils)	2x pupils formed important trusting relationships with adults. Improved attendance at school	
	4	10% (2pupils)	Provided strategies to support friendship difficulties- pupils observed to implement at break and lunchtime by support staff.	
	5	18% (3 pupils)	Provided strategies to support friendship difficulties- pupils observed to implement at break and lunchtime by support staff.	
	6	18% (2 pupils)	Opportunity to discuss with key adult worries and anxieties	
Interventions and support from the additional adults, Family Support Advisory and Learning Mentors (In- house and external) for Disadvantaged children who find friendship/relationships difficult, do not engage well in classroom activities or have difficult relationships with their parents and other adults. These difficulties may impact their attendance to school.	Year Group	% of pupils who received an Family Support/Learning Mentor intervention	Impact	Family Support Advisor Cost
	1	28% (1 pupil(Financial support- DLA and FSM application support	
	2	19% (4 pupils)	Housing support Bundles referral for Bedding and clothes	
	3	25% (2 pupils)	Improved behaviour at home Housing Support	
	4	26% (5 pupils)	OCD support Housing and Parenting advice Bereavement counselling Behaviour mentor	

	5	16% (4 pupils)	Improved attendance Financial support- DLA and FSM application support Opportunity for pupil to discuss significant changes in his life
	6	18% (3 pupils)	Attendance at TAC meetings DV support – evidence for MARF referral Attendance improvement
Play therapy, Art Therapy and lego therapy to be arranged through professional registered providers in order to address emotional issues, previous trauma and enable pupils to become settled to learn.	Due to COVID-19 planned interventions were cancelled due to staff availability and absence.		